



Recent Approaches to High-Dimension Data Grouping and **Specific Hierarchical Grouping Techniques**

- Neeti Arora*

Assistant Professor, G.L. Bajaj Institute of Management, Greater Noida Uttar Pradesh Reeti.arora@glbim.ac.in phttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-7432-7346

- Vikas Chauhan

Assistant Professor, G.L. Bajaj Institute of Management, Greater Noida Uttar Pradesh 🛛 vikas.chauhan@glbim.ac.in 🍺 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1619-2863

- Anita Chaudhary

Assistant Professor, G.L. Bajaj Institute of Management, Greater Noida Uttar Pradesh 💌 anita.chaudhary@glbim.ac.in 🍺 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9436-5980



ARTICLE HISTORY

Paper Nomenclature: Case Based Study (CBS) Paper Code: GJEISV15I1JM2023CBS1 Submission at Portal (www.gjeis.com): 11-Jan-2023 Manuscript Acknowledged: 15-Jan-2023 Originality Check: 20-Jan-2023 Originality Test (Plag) Ratio (Ouriginal): 08% Author Revert with Rectified Copy: 26-Jan-2023 Peer Reviewers Comment (Open): 29-Jan-2023 Single Blind Reviewers Explanation: 16-Feb- 2023 Double Blind Reviewers Interpretation: 21-Feb-2023 Triple Blind Reviewers Annotations: 24- Feb-2023 Author Update (w.r.t. correction, suggestion & observation): 28- Feb-2023 Camera-Ready-Copy: 11- Mar-2023 Editorial Board Excerpt & Citation: 16-Mar-2023

Published Online First: 31-Mar-2023

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Grouping of data in various clusters as per the similarities and differences is the main aim of designing this research. Clustering techniques helps in understanding the utility of the groups used in variety of fields such as brain research, social and behavioural science, Artificial intelligence and data exploration. Different techniques of clustering such as specific hierarchial clustering, concept of curse of dimensionality, conceptual spaces, and many more helps to understand the type of data and also its segregation with respect to the particular group. The aim of the research is to enlighten the concept broadly and how other researchers can use them in analysing the data. The techniques of clustering helps to choose any for further analysis of the data collected with the help of questionnaire.

Design/Methodology/Approach: The proposed research is descriptive and reviewed. The past researches helps to build the models and figures for better understanding of research.

Findings: The findings revealed that clustering is used not specifically in one research but also in various fields. Grouping of data collected for analysis in various clusters need a better clearance of clustering.

Originality/value: this research will be useful for all the researchers in different fields to analyse complex and big data to understand data mining, clustering and segregate data based on their similarities and differences.

Paper Type: Case Based Study

KEYWORDS: Clustering | Attributes | Hierarchial Clustering | Conceptual Spaces | Curse of Dimensionality

*Corresponding Author (Neeti Et. Al)

- Present Volume & Issue (Cycle): Volume 15 | Issue-1 | Jan-Mar 2023 International Standard Serial Number:
- Online ISSN: 0975-1432 | Print ISSN: 0975-153X
- DOI (Crossref, USA) https://doi.org/10.18311/gjeis/2023 Bibliographic database: OCLC Number (WorldCat): 988732114
- Impact Factor: 3.57 (2019-2020) & 1.0 (2020-2021) [CiteFactor]
- Editor-in-Chief: Dr. Subodh Kesharwani
- Frequency: Quarterly

- Published Since: 2009
- Research database: EBSCO https://www.ebsco.com
- · Review Pedagogy: Single Blind Review/ Double Blind Review/ Triple Blind Review/ Open Review
- Copyright: ©2023 GJEIS and it's heirs
- Publishers: Scholastic Seed Inc. and KARAM Society
- · Place: New Delhi, India.
 - Repository (figshare): 704442/13

GJEIS is an Open access journal which access article under the Creative Commons. This CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0) promotes access and re-use of scientific and scholarly research and publishing. Global Journal of Enterprise Information System

Introduction

Clustering is probably the most efficient method when it comes to breaking down data sets that contain a large number of items, each with its unique properties. Categorization is an attempt to distinguish between multiple collections or groupings of comparable things. In general terms, a group can be defined as a subset of elements that are assigned similar attributes along with each trait. A subset of components that are more like elements of other clusters than themselves can be considered a term belonging to a larger cluster.

It will not always have a clear answer. Assuming that the components with characteristics of d are viewed as foci in a Euclidean space of dimension d, the distances can be viewed as a measure of singularity in the space. To identify the pairwise connections between a variety of applications, several discretionary measures of disparity have been developed. In many cases, these pairwise measures of divergence provide a snapshot of the differences between the specific attributes that encompass them.

Clustering Algorithm: Several clustering calculations can be used to solve real-world problems. As the facts of the region show, each time we carefully select the calculations and make sure that they are correct. The calculations require entering the number of clusters, their final state, improvement standards, chain time, and other relevant information. These multiple constraints must be broken down into their components before the calculation can be selected.

Cluster Validation: Verification shows that performing clustering calculations on the same data sets produces different results depending on the implementation goal chosen to emphasize clustering. Also, since this is an undirected evolution, there is no obvious way to determine if the cluster is healthy or not. We can examine the integrity of the group due to its geographic isolation and relative local size.

Grouping types

Considering the different integration criteria, we use different methods for the clustering algorithms. According to the results of some experts, the clustering technique can be divided into two different classifications: the first is the hierarchical method and the second is the distribution method. According to the claims of some industry insiders, it can be divided into three different categories: frame-based, template-based, and thickness-based approaches. The graph illustrates the categorization of the clustering approach:

Hierarchy order

recursive partitioning is the basis of hierarchical clustering. To create a cluster, the data sets are partitioned recursively using hierarchical methods with more granularity or using a granular perspective with more granularity. Hierarchical clustering is based on recursive partitioning. A data tree can be used to manage this type of grouping. In this design, each non-internal hub or secondary hub in a given cluster will be routed to the data focus, which in the next sentence will be referred to as the primary of the primary cluster. The cluster's internal hub points to the cluster itself. This cluster collects important data in a specific group of data centres around the world. Example: we can build networks of clusters with informal communities by operating at different local levels. It's possible to focus on a smaller area and get more detail while YOU work with digital photos.

Hierarchical group separators

The method known as divisional clustering is the antithesis of the agglomerated clustering method. This is a hierarchical approach that applies global samples to each group. Thus, at that point, the entire pool was split into two subclasses at each level, and so on. Each of the two new subclasses added at each level is declared a "bi-frame" of the previous ones. So, in the first step of dividing into two subsets, combinations with a ratio of 2n-1 to 1 are expected. Thus, it is an important computation of time complexity that is very poorly conceived for a multitude of components.

Hierarchical agglomeration clustering approaches are widely used today. It is necessary to create clusters from a set of N elements and a network with some of N * N, and the classic flow of clustering can be summarized as follows:

- a) Assign each item to a group, and let the distance between the groups be equal to the distance between the objects they have.
- b) Find the most comparable cluster groups and combine them into a comparable cluster
- c) Determine the distance that separates the new clusters from the old clusters altogether. d) Iterate over stepsb) and c) until all of the newly formed clusters are consolidated into a single cluster of size N. As the study moves on, this partitioning or combining will be carried out.

Division:

Migration compute is the most common type of nonhierarchical cluster compute and is also known as compute. During this calculation, the cluster metrics are repeatedly constrained by migrating or updating centroids. This process generally WORKS, until the data centroids are divided into the ideal group. The data sets are used as a sample structure, then partitioned into a series of assemblages, with each collection then partitioned into a set of specific standards called welfare measures. This happiness index was chosen as a simple problem because it requires that a dataset packet have DN objects in an ideal group K (K = N), with elements of the same type still in a contained group. This happiness index was chosen because it is challenging. included in a variety of categories

74

Case Based Study www.gjeis.com

Objective

Focus on hierarchical grouping

Focus on the "idea-driven" approach to grouping highdimensional data.

Research Methedology Cluster

To discover groups "based on the data contained in the data that describe the components or their connections," the objects (perceptions circumstances) are categorised into groups. The agenda items for a single meeting should strive to be similar to one another or connected to each other, whereas the agenda items for several meetings should be distinct from one another (or irrelevant to one another). When there is a larger degree of similarity (or homogeneity) inside a set and when there is a greater degree of variation across sets, clustering performs at its highest level of effectiveness. Cluster analysis seeks to achieve, as its end aim, a characterisation of the data items. When we talk about "arrays," we're referring to the practice of identifying data items with the names of the classes or groups to which they belong. Clustering does not make use of the newly deprecated class names as a result of this reason; the only time this may occur is during testing to verify that clustering functions appropriately. Therefore, group control is sometimes referred to as "unsupervised classification," and one should make sure not to confuse it with "controlled characterization" or, again interpreted, with "classification," which is an attempt to follow the rules for grouping objects from a set of pre-grouped objects for obeyed - follow. Object. The term "group review" is not to be confused with the more widespread term "selection," nor with the term "controlled characterization."



Figure 1:Various classifications for the same collection of points

Separate cluster:

One way to think of a cluster is as a kind of focus group, with the end objective being that each participant "a point that is part of a cluster is physically closer (or physically closer) to another point that is part of the cluster than it is to any other point that is not in the cluster. There are occasions when a restriction is used to specify that all of the locations in a group must be sufficiently near (or similar) to one another".

One definition of a group is "a collection of components in which an element in one group is closer (closer) to the "centre" of one group than another element in another group is to the focal point of that group." In many instances, the focus of a cluster is located inside a centroid, which is the average of the cluster's numerous relative foci, or at a medoid, which is the position of a "most delegated" cluster. Both of these terms refer to the location of a "most delegated" cluster.

Contiguous clusters, also known as closest neighbour clusters or transitive clusters, are defined as follows:

This collection of focuses is referred to as a group because each point within the group is geographically closer (or closer) to at least one other focus within the group than it is to any other point that is not part of the group. Family aggregations are referred to as clusters.

The following is a definition of a conglomerate for purposes of comparison:

Those that belong to the same group are considered to be "comparable," but items that belong to distinct groups are not considered to be "similar." One way to think of a group is as a collection of foci that, when put together, produce a neighbourhood that has a certain characteristic that is shared by all neighbourhoods, such as B. thickness or shape. The conventional meaning of the term "cluster" may be understood in this context in a somewhat modified form. The degree of approximation that is employed is contingent on several factors, including the quantity of data that is examined, the kind of data that is studied, and the quality of the data. The three distinct grind grades that are offered are outlined in Table 1.

Table 1: Different types of attributes

| Tracks | Two values, for example true and false |
|--------------|--|
| discreet | Counts a finite number of values or, for example, an integer |
| continuation | A virtually infinite number of real values, eg. B. weight. |

The grades, nominally, are just different designations, like variants or postal districts.

Ordinal: Attributes express a single statement, i.e. all, e.g. B. bigger, better and better.

Quantitative - Range - There is a sense in which one value can be distinguished from another; in other words, there is a unit of measure. For example, consider the temperature in Celsius or Fahrenheit.

love story-Since there is a point on the scale that is zero, the ratio is important. The models are based on real variables such as current flow, pressure or temperature measured on a Kelvin scale.

Euclidean distance and some variations

The Minkowski metric is an assumption about the distance between foci in Euclidean space, but it is the most widely used measure of proximity. It is indicated as a proportional measure (on a scale with absolute 0).

$$p_{ij} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} \left| x_{ik} - \left| x_{jk} \right|^{r} \right)^{1/2}$$

Where r is a parameter and d is the dimensionality of the data object, ex ik and x jk are the components of the objects that correspond to the k dimension i and ${}^{j \text{ th}}_{x \text{ and}} xj$, respectively.

Data Analysis

Specific hierarchical clustering techniques: MIN, MAX, cluster average

The purpose of hierarchical clustering is to produce a hierarchy consisting of consolidated clusters, with individual family clusters located at the bottom of the hierarchy and complete clusters located at the top. This progressive system can be represented graphically with a figure known as a dendrogram. A dendrogram is an inverted tree that expresses the question of whether or not the centroids should be joined (a base-up, clustered approach) or whether the groups should be divided (a hierarchical methodology, Division). This question can be expressed with the help of an inverted tree.

Hierarchical methods offer several advantages; one of these advantages is that they may be used for scientific classifications that are not related to the biological sciences (eg area B, strain, variety, species, etc.). Hierarchical methods also deal with the classifications used in scientific research. On the other side, hierarchical approaches do not function well with a smaller number of categories, which is still another intriguing element. However, to acquire the appropriate number of groups, it is possible to "slice" the dendrogram at the present level. As a result, hierarchical approaches are used to produce clusters of superior quality.

In this part, we will cover three hierarchical clustering techniques: the MIN, MAX, and normal collection approaches. These methods are used to organise data hierarchically. When hierarchical clustering is performed using a single link or the MIN fitting algorithm, the proximity of two clusters is determined by the minimum distance (limit of similarity) between two households in each of the various clusters. This distance is used to determine how similar the two households are to one another. Because the method organises families when you begin with all of the families as a single group and create connections between families, with the majority of the interfaces being observed first, the name "single connection" refers to how the technique groups families. Because of this, we are operating on the presumption that YOU will add links between families. The one-of-a-kind mixture works well for editing without elliptical forms, but it tends to wobble and become jagged.

The hierarchical cluster normal form defines the closeness of two clusters as the pairwise normal proximity of all sets of families in separate clusters. This proximity is referred to as the "proximity of all families." The closeness between these two clusters is measured using this proximity as a metric. Please note that this method falls somewhere in the middle between MIN and MAX. This is represented in the attached condition that can be seen below:

$$proximity (cluster1, cluster2) = \frac{\sum_{\substack{p_1 \in cluster1\\p_2 \in cluster2}} proximity(p_1, p_2)}{size(cluster1)^* size(cluster2)}$$

The "curse of dimensionality"

Richard Bellman is the first person to use the phrase "curse of dimensionality". [citation needed] They triumphed, so what's wrong with our celebration? This criticism that researchers receive from the beginning of their careers is the flow of dimensionality. The difficulty of levelling a multifactorial component through an animalistic quest for power on discrete multidimensional grids is the problem that Bellman refers to in his statement. ie, "with all dimensionality factors" now refers to any difficulty in data analysis that results from an extremely large number of components (attributes).

In clustering, the key aspect of the dimensionality problem to consider is the effect that increasing dimensionality has on the distance between things or their comparability. In particular, most clustering strategies are primarily based on distance or similarity and predict that components included in one cluster would be, on average, closer to each other than elements included in other clusters. (If this is not taken into account, cluster calculations may result in negligible clusters.) A data set may contain clusters. However, if this method requires a lot of calculations, you can plot the histogram. When the data contains clusters, the plot often shows two peaks: one peak is proportional to the distance between the foci in the clusters, and the other peak refers to operations involving the typical distance between the foci. Data were examined independently with and without groups and the results are shaded in Figures 9a and 9b, respectively.

Case Based Study www.gjeis.com

Also. Clustering using distance-based techniques may not be practical in situations where there is an accessible peak or when two peaks are close together. Note that clusters with different densities can cause the leftmost peak in Figure 2 to become two separate peaks.



Figure 2: Plot of distances between points for grouped and ungrouped data

A strategy that is "conceptualised" for the pooling of high-dimensional data

One of the most important characteristics of data sets that are reasonably large is the fact that two distinct things can be surprisingly comparable to each other. This can be the case even when commonly employed measures of distance or similarity suggest that the things in question are either singular or only relatively respectable. Alternately, and perhaps more shockingly, it is also conceivable that an item's closest or most similar neighbours are not as "attached" to the object as other, less comparable objects are to the object. This is a possibility that exists whether or not an object is compared to other things. The occurrence of other, less similar aspects has a higher probability. To find a solution to this issue, we have modified earlier methods that measure the distance between components, or the degree to which they are comparable. Specifically, we have decreased the number of elements that have common neighbours. The resemblance is not in terms of shared credits; rather, it is in terms of a generic idea of common conceptions that is determined by the approach that follows. The rest of this section eliminates our work on tracking groups in these "conceptual spaces" and differentiates our approaches from those in the specified area, which should examine groups in standard vector spaces. This was done so that we may go on to the next part of this section.

conceptual spaces

In terms of what drives us, an idea is a set of qualities. For this illustration, an idea associated with records would be a collection of words that describe a subject or point, for example, "manual labour" or "money." The importance of ideas arises from the fact that, for some data sets, it is reasonable to infer that parts of the data set were generated probabilistically by at least one set of ideas. This is one of the reasons why ideas are so important. With this in mind, an idea capable of handling proportions took into account the fact that each data set contains words generated by at least one idea, the measurable model.

3b is quite similar to figure 3a; however, it exhibits a slightly less clear or delicate pattern and we call it the "miscellaneous thinking" pattern. The most significant improvement over the "previous model is that a single word used in a register belonging to a specific class can come from more than one specific jargon. There is also the possibility of confusing patterns".



(a) Concepts of the queen.

(b) Complicated concepts

Figure 3: different conceptual models.

The need for indirect similarity in conceptual spaces

Assuming that we thoroughly examine the records in the file, we find that the usual closeness between records within a group (measured by cosine) is less than 0.6 and is known to be between 0.2 and . This indicates that two relations in the same group share about 20% of all their conditions and half of their conditions (duplicate matches expected). If the degree of similarity between a record and its nearest neighbour is only 0.3, we should not automatically assign both reports to the same category. The closeness between the two should make us understand that it is not very strong. Look at the organization of the files in Table 2.

Table 2: Example of a set of documents

| AN | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| b. | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| с | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Y | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Reports C and D are very similar to each other, but the most reliable groups for this set are A, B, C and D, E and F. In both sets of reports, each correlates two separate file properties to a single report. The first four credits combine the letters A, B, and C, and the last four credits combine the letters D, E, and F.

A topic should be structured around the records that make up a cluster of records, and this does not mean that the nearest neighbour of a clustered report should be defined in a similar way as discovered in the model above. If we were to examine the hidden parallels, we would see that files C and D only have broken links but files AB, AC and BC have misleading links. This would indicate that C and D are similar to each other compared to the other files. For this reason, the obvious groupings are structured according to ABC and DEF.

More precise model to consider comparisons with real records. The vector space model is used to analyze data sets. In this model, each relationship, denoted by the letter d, is interpreted as a vector, denoted by the letter d, in conceptual space (an archived set of "words"). The forwarder is someone who replies to each message in its simplest form (TF),

$$\boldsymbol{d}_{tf} = (tf_1, tf_2, \dots, tf_n),$$

where tiff represents multiple occurrences of the word in the dataset (in most cases known terms are removed entirely and multiple word types are condensed into a single sanctioned structure). each sentence in the assortment folder depending on how often it occurs. inverted (IDF). (As a result, the space between consecutive words will be reduced to its simplest form.) Finally, to accurately represent different files, we have standardized each relation vector to be one unit long. [5]

Only a small fraction of all the words that make up the jargon sentence are included in each record. Therefore, given the probabilistic concept of word matching, two files can share an apparent number of similar words. So it should come as no surprise that two files are most often close together without a comparable class location in either of them. Affiliation to a selected set of repository records. Figure 4 represents the level of the folder whose probable neighbour does not belong to a class similar to the one examined. (For example, the classes have already been preallocated, affecting the part of the log where a message was received.) [7]



Figure 4: Appearance of the nearest neighbours of another class.

In cases where the identity of persons considered nearest neighbours is in doubt, an alternative technique based on an additional global attribute is required. We will first look at a general technique when considering the nearest neighbours, then we will discuss our methods.

Example results for the conceptual grouping of documents

Identical terms in Table 2 are (continued) the first six words found in each set of reports. According to Table 4, we can see that all NCAA-related files are included in the first group, while all NBA-related files are included in the second group. Even though the two sets of affiliation agreements are related to basketball, our grouping process has treated them as separate groups. We performed the K-involvement calculation for the relevant dataset and, to our surprise, each of the files in these two groups appears in a comparable K-involvement group with different proportions of jumping, swimming, and some recordings considered relevant. . K implies that the reason for merging so many sports files into one big group is that most sports information contains many well-known terms such as "result", "half", "quarter", "game", "ball". etc. This model shows that coupled proximity is by no means a suitable metric for pooling data sets on your own without the help of someone else.[5] [6]

Table 3: Six individual words in the group of documents.

| | | The N | CAA Cente | r | |
|-------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|
| Pack of Wolves | Tractor | Carry Out | Technology | Point | North |
| Syracuse | frames | Georgia | crest | rossobrun | louisville |
| Point | carry out | rule out | a medium | Free | Iowa |
| Point | ash tree | unlv | carry out | lockhart | jacksonville |
| Panthers | pittsburgh | in advance | pothole | point | Match |
| Iowa | Minnesota | frames | Illinois | Wisconsin | Rig |
| Point | a medium | Virginia | george town | carry out | Kansas |
| Burson | louisville | frames | Ohio | Match | Ellison |

| | | The centre | of the NBA | | |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|------------|-----------|----------------|
| cardiac stimulator | frames | Pistons | Shooting | Match | Hawk |
| gentlemen | mckei | Charlotte | frames | great son | cleveland |
| Point | Match | Calluses | Trash can | hornet | single |
| Levingston | Hawk | Jordanian | Malone | male | fourth part |
| my girlfriend | pistons | Warrior | gentlemen | Shooting | Expel |

While not all records are added to the correct cluster, we can still achieve cleaner clusters using an approach based on the concept of shared nearest neighbours (SNN). However, to conduct an unbiased investigation, we have concluded that all relationships residing in groups of K-Imples that are outside of the core of the group should be removed. When we looked at them using the misclassification rate, we found that they were slightly higher. When we looked at individual recordings within a group of SNNs that had been labelled

78



"apparently horrible," we found that despite the different classifications, the files still made up a cohesive collection.

Conclusion

This research interpret a brief overview of clustering research with an emphasis on how huge data sets are evaluated. The biggest challenge successfully extending the study of clusters to high-dimensional data is the "curse of dimensionality." The study illustrates the general as well as the specific differences between high-dimensional and lowdimensional data. In order to design the course for groups, various high dimensional techniques appropriate in cuurent scenario are discussed with respect to idea based clustering. Hierarchial clustering had been interpreted with the help of dendogram that shows the hierarchy with the help of MIN, MAX and cluster average from top to bottom or vice versa within the groups. With the help of curse of dimensionality, the data collected from respondents can be analyzed with different elements included in clusters. Pooling of hierarchial data helps to understand the similarity and dissimilarity between the clusters. Measurable model of conceptual spaces helps to undertsand the capability of handling proportions that each data set contains words generated by at least one idea. The study concluded various techniques of clustering and ways to handle datasets for interpreting various results.

Reference

Ah Arpit Gupta (2019) "Research Paper on Data Variation Clustering Techniques" International Journal of Advanced Engineering and Technological Research (IJATER)at: https:// www.researchgate.net/publication/265077297

- Shuhie Aggarwal (2017) "Hierarchical Group: An Effective Data Mining Technique for Big Data Processing"International Journal of Computer Applications (0975-8887) Vol. 3, No. 129
 No. 13, November 2015
- Afroj Alam (2018) "Comprehensive review of clustering techniques and their application to high-dimensional data" IJCSNS International Journal of Computing and Network Security, VOL. 21 no. June 6, 2021
- Jinze Liu (2015) "New Approaches to High-Dimensional Data Clustering" http://www.cs.unc.edu/xcms/wpfiles/ dissertations/liu_jinze.pdf
- Michael Steinbach (2016) "High-Dimensional Dataset Challenges": https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/245635367
- Levent Ertoz, Michael Steinbach, and Vipin Kumar, "Finding Topics in Document Collections: A Shared Nearest Neighbor Approach," in Text Mining Workshop Proceedings, First SIAM Data Center International Text Mining Conference, Chicago, IT (2001).
- Michael Steinbach, George Karypis, and Vipin Kumar, "Comparison of Document Clustering Algorithms," in Proceedings of the Text Mining Seminar for the Sixth ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, (KDD 2000), Boston, MA. (2000).
- J. Van Rijsbergen, Information Retrieval, Butterworth, London, Second Edition, (1979).
- Kevin Beyer, Jonathan Goldstein, Raghu Ramakrishnan, and Uri Shaft, (1998), "When does ,closer' make sense?", Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Database Theory (ICDT-1999), Jerusalem, Israel, pp. 217-235, (1999).
- Sergey Brin, "Nearest Neighbor Search in Large Metric Spaces," Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Very Large Data Bases (VLDB1995), pp. 574-584, Zurich, Switzerland, Morgan Kaufmann (1995).
- Adaptive Control Process: A Guide, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey (1961).

GJEIS Prevent Plagiarism in Publication

The Editorial Board had used the Turnitin – a Swedish anti-plagiarism software tool which is a fully-automatic machine learning textrecognition system made for detecting, preventing and handling plagiarism and trusted by thousands of institutions across worldwide. Ouriginal by Turnitin is an award-winning software that helps detect and prevent plagiarism regardless of language. Combining textmatching with writing-style analysis to promote academic integrity and prevent plagiarism, Ouriginal is simple, reliable and easy to use. Ouriginal was acquired by Turnitin in 2021. As part of a larger global organization GJEIS and Turnitin better equipped to anticipate the foster an environment of academic integrity for educators and students around the globe. Ouriginal is GDPR compliant with privacy by design and an uptime of 99.9% and have trust to be the partner in academic integrity (https://www.ouriginal.com/) tool to check the originality and further affixed the similarity index which is {08%} in this case (See below Annexure-I). Thus, the reviewers and editors are of view to find it suitable to publish in this Volume-15, Issue-1, Jan-Mar 2023.

Annexure 15.8

| Submission Date | Submission Id | Word Count | Character Count |
|--|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 20-Jan-2023 | (Turnitin) | 4284 | 26762 |
| | | | |
| Analyzed Document | Submitter email | Submitted by | Similarity |
| 3.1 CBS1_Neeti _GJEIS Jan to Mar 2023.docx | neeti.arora@glbim.ac.in | Neeti Arora | 08% |

Global Journal of Enterprise Information System

| 8% | doc.lagout.org 11 words - < 1% |
|--|--|
| MILARITY NODX RIMARY SOURCES | 5 Hong Quang Pham, Quang Trung Trinh, Duy Tuan Doan, Quang Hung Tran. "Importance of |
| jonathanstray.com 255 words - 6% | Magnetizing Field on Magnetic Flux Leakage Signal of Defects", IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, 2018 |
| 2 Piyush Yadav, Rajeev Agrawal. "Network Selection for 30 words — 1% Maximum Coverage using Regression Analysis in Deep Fading Environment", Wireless Personal Communications, 2019 Groupert | 6 Vydunas Saltenis. "Data Clustering Based on Maximization of Outlier Factor", Journal of Global Optimization, 2006 Crosser |
| ³ Levent Ertöz, Michael Steinbach, Vipin Kumar. "Chapter 3 Einding Topics in Collections of 13 words — < 1% | 7 dokumen.pub 8 words - < 1% |
| Documents: A Shared Nearest Neighbor Approach", Springer | 8 www.researchgate.net 8 words - < 1% |



Reviewer's Comment 1: The paper is descriptive in nature. Grouping of data in various clusters as per the similarities and differences is the main aim of designing this research. Clustering techniques help in understanding the utility of the groups used in a variety of fields such as brain research, social and behavioural science, Artificial intelligence and data exploration.

Reviewer's Comment 2: The paper is based on a quite interesting theme. It will be useful for all the researchers in different fields to analyse complex and big data to understand data mining, clustering and segregate data based on their similarities and differences.

Reviewer's Comment 3: The presence of tables and figures make the study more presentable and interesting for the readers to read. Moreover it provides various references by which readers can get in depth knowledge about the topic.



Neeti Arora, Vikas Chauhan and Anita Chaudhary "Recent Approaches to High-Dimension Data Grouping and Specific Hierarchical Grouping Techniques' Volume-15, Issue-1, Jan-Mar 2023. (www.gjeis.com)

https://doi.org/10.18311/gjeis/2022 Volume-15, Issue-1, Jan-Mar 2023 Online iSSN : 0975-1432, Print iSSN : 0975-153X Frequency : Quarterly, Published Since : 2009

> Google Citations: Since 2009 H-Index = 96i10-Index: 964

Source: https://scholar.google.co.in/citations? user=S47TtNkAAAAJ&hl=en

Conflict of Interest: Author of a Paper had no conflict neither financially nor academically.



The article has 8% of plagiarism which is the accepted percentage as per the norms and standards of the journal for publication. As per the editorial board's observations and blind reviewers' remarks the paper had some minor revisions which were communicated on a timely basis to the authors (Neeti, Vikas and Anita) and accordingly, all the corrections had been incorporated as and when directed and required to do so. The comments related to this manuscript are noticeably related to the theme "Recent Approaches to High-Dimension Data Grouping" both subject-wise and research-wise. Clustering techniques help in understanding the utility of the groups used in a variety of fields such as brain research, social and behavioural science, Artificial intelligence and data exploration. Grouping of data in various clusters as per the similarities and differences is the main aim of designing this research. Overall, the paper promises to provide a strong base for the further studies in the area. After comprehensive reviews and editorial board's remarks the manuscript has been categorized and decided to publish under the "Case Based Study" category.





The acknowledgment section is an essential part of all academic research papers. It provides appropriate recognition to all contributors for their hard work and effort taken while writing a paper. The data presented and analyzed in this paper by (Neeti, Vikas and Anita) were collected first handily and wherever it has been taken the proper acknowledgment and endorsement depicts. The authors are highly indebted to others who facilitated accomplishing the research. Last but not least, endorse all reviewers and editors of GJEIS in publishing in the present issue.

Disclaimer

All views expressed in this paper are my/our own. Some of the content is taken from open-source websites & some are copyright free for the purpose of disseminating knowledge. Those some we/I had mentioned above in the references section and acknowledged/cited as when and where required. The author/s have cited their joint own work mostly, and tables/data from other referenced sources in this particular paper with the narrative & endorsement have been presented within quotes and reference at the bottom of the article accordingly & appropriately. Finally, some of the contents are taken or overlapped from open-source websites for knowledge purposes. Those some of i/we had mentioned above in the references section. On the other hand, opinions expressed in this paper are those of the author and do not reflect the views of the GJEIS. The authors have made every effort to ensure that the information in this paper is correct, any remaining errors and deficiencies are solely their responsibility.



80